

AD-A060 746

NAVAL RESEARCH LAB WASHINGTON D C

F/G 12/1

DISCRETE FOURIER TRANSFORMS FOR SYMMETRIC OR ANTISYMMETRIC REAL--ETC(U)

JUN 78 B RICE, J H ORENS, R LEE, J P BORIS

UNCLASSIFIED

NRL-MR-3640

SBIE-AD-E000 220

NL

1 OF 1
AD
A060746



END
DATE
FILMED
01-79
DDC

12
NW

AD-E000220
NRL Memorandum Report 3640

6

**Discrete Fourier Transforms
for Symmetric or Antisymmetric Real Sequences
With the Point of Symmetry or Antisymmetry
Midway Between Two Cells.**

10

BART/RICE

~~Department of Defense~~
~~Washington, D.C.~~

LEVEL VII

JOSEPH H. ORENS, ROSWELL LEE, JAY P. BORIS

Plasma Dynamics Branch
Plasma Physics Division

AD A060746

9

Interim rept.

11

June 1978

12 9p.

14 NRL-MR-3640

DDC
REFORMED
NOV 2 1978
B



16 RR01109

17 RR0110941

18 SBIE

78 09 14 080

NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY
Washington, D.C.

19 AD-E000 220

DDC FILE COPY

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

251 950

LB

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER NRL Memorandum Report 3640	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) DISCRETE FOURIER TRANSFORMS FOR SYMMETRIC OR ANTISYMMETRIC REAL SEQUENCES WITH THE POINT OF SYMMETRY OR ANTISYMMETRY MIDWAY BETWEEN TWO CELLS	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Interim report on a continuing NRL Problem	
	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
7. AUTHOR(s) J. H. Orens, R. Lee, J. P. Boris, and B. Rice	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS NRL Problem No. 77H02-51 ONR Task No. RR-011-0941	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research 800 N. Quincy St. Arlington, VA. 22203	12. REPORT DATE June 1978	
	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 9	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified	
	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This research was sponsored by the Office of Naval Research under Task No. RR-011-0941.		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Discrete Fourier Transforms		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A technique is presented for performing the finite discrete Fourier transforms on real sequences which satisfy either symmetric or antisymmetric boundary conditions and which have the point of symmetry or antisymmetry at the midpoint between two cells. The method approximately halves the number of operations required if symmetry or antisymmetry is ignored.		

DD FORM 1473
1 JAN 73

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE
S/N 0102-014-6601

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

78 09 14 080

DISCRETE FOURIER TRANSFORMS FOR SYMMETRIC OR ANTISYMMETRIC REAL SEQUENCES WITH THE POINT OF SYMMETRY OR ANTISYMMETRY MIDWAY BETWEEN TWO CELLS

1. Introduction

In this paper we present a method for computing the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) of real sequences $\{y(j)\}$ of length $4N$ which satisfy the symmetric or antisymmetric boundary conditions

$$y(4N - j - 1) = sy(j), \quad 0 \leq j \leq 4N - 1, s = \pm 1. \quad (1.1)$$

Such sequences appear in several important classes of problems in which certain considerations (physical boundaries, reflection points, etc.) dictate that the symmetry or antisymmetry points be at the midpoint between cells. For example, such sequences are involved in solving Poisson's equation $\nabla^2 \phi = \rho$ for the gravitational potential ϕ_g or the electrostatic potential ϕ_e , given the mass or charge density when the source terms are specified half a cell away from the points of symmetry or antisymmetry. The conditions (1.1) reflect this property, supposing symmetry or antisymmetry of the sequence $\{y(j)\}$ at points halfway between $y(-1)$ and $y(0)$, $y(2N - 1)$ and $y(2N)$, and $y(4N - 1)$ and $y(4N)$. This contrasts with situations for which the usual sine-cosine transforms are applicable, in which $y(0)$, $y(2N)$, and $y(4N)$ are the points of symmetry or antisymmetry and have the symmetric or antisymmetric boundary conditions

Note: Manuscript submitted October 7, 1977.

Section		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Section		<input type="checkbox"/>
UNANNOUNCED JUSTIFICATION		<input type="checkbox"/>
BY		
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES		
Dist.	AVAIL.	and/or SPECIAL
A		

$$y(4N - j) = sy(j), \quad 0 \leq j \leq 4N, s = \pm 1. \quad (1.2)$$

The approach presented here is more appropriate for efficient calculation of DFTs of real sequences satisfying (1.1) than the sine-cosine transforms of [1].

Our technique for computing the DFT

$$Y(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{4N-1} y(j)w(jk), \quad 0 \leq k \leq 4N-1, \quad (1.3)$$

where $w(q) = \exp(-2\pi iq/4N)$, approximately halves the number of operations which are required by algorithms in which the added conditions of symmetry or antisymmetry are not taken into account.

2. Derivation of the Algorithm

The DFT of any real sequence $\{y(j)\}$ consisting of an even number of points $2M$ ($M = 2N$ in our case) may be calculated by performing a DFT on M complex points, plus a little overhead. Specifically, if

$$x(j) = y(2j) + iy(2j+1), \quad 0 \leq j \leq M-1, \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$X(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} x(j) \exp(-2\pi ijk/M), \quad 0 \leq k \leq M-1, \quad (2.2)$$

then it is a straightforward exercise to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} Y(k) &= [1 - iw(k)]X(k)/2 + [1 + iw(k)]X^*(M-k)/2, \\ Y(2M-k) &= Y^*(k), \quad 0 \leq k \leq M. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where $X(M) = X(0)$ and p^* denotes the complex conjugate of p . For inversion, the coefficients $\{X(k)\}$ can be constructed from the coefficients $\{Y(k)\}$ and are given by

NRL MEMORANDUM REPORT 3640

$$X(k) = [1 + iw(-k)]Y(k)/2 + [1 - iw(-k)]Y^*(M-k)/2, \quad 0 \leq j \leq M-1. \quad (2.4)$$

The boundary values on the sequence $\{x(j)\}$ induced by (1.1) are given by

$$x(M-j-1) = isx^*(j), \quad 0 \leq j \leq M-1. \quad (2.5)$$

Therefore, for $0 \leq k \leq M-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} X^*(k) &= \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} x^*(j)w(-2jk) = -is \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} x(M-j-1)w(-2jk) \\ &= -is \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} x(j)w[-2k(M-j-1)] = -isw(2k)X(k). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

From (2.5) it follows that (2.2) can be written as a sum of two DFTs, each of length N :

$$\begin{aligned} X(k) &= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} x(2j)w(4jk) + w(2k) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} x(2j+1)w(4jk) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} x(2j)w(4jk) + isw(-2k) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} x^*(2j)w(-4jk). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, letting

$$B(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} x(2j)w(4jk), \quad 0 \leq k \leq N-1, \quad (2.7)$$

and noting that $B(N+k) = B(k)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X(k) &= B(k) + isw(-2k)B^*(k), \\ X(N+k) &= B(k) - isw(-2k)B^*(k), \\ X(2N-k) &= B(N-k) + isw(2k)B^*(N-k), \quad 0 \leq k \leq N-1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Hence, all $4N$ Fourier coefficients $\{Y(k)\}$ can be found from a transform of the N complex points $\{x(2j): 0 \leq j \leq N-1\} = \{y(4j) + iy(4j+1): 0 \leq j \leq N-1\}$.

Using the expressions in (2.8) to evaluate the quantities $\{Y(k)\}$ in (2.3), we obtain

$$Y(k) = w(-k/2) [Q(k) + sQ^*(k)]/2, \quad 0 \leq k \leq M-1, \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q(k) &= [w(k/2) - iw(3k/2)]B(k) + [w(k/2) + iw(3k/2)]B^*(N-k), \\ Q(N+k) &= [w[(N+k)/2] - iw[3(N+k)/2]]B(k) \\ &\quad + [w[(N+k)/2] + iw[3(N+k)/2]]B^*(N-k), \quad 0 \leq k \leq N-1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

We should note that the quantities $Q(k) + sQ^*(k)$ in (2.9) are either real ($s = 1$) or purely imaginary ($s = -1$). Because of the symmetry conditions (1.1) there are only $2N$ linearly independent coefficients. The N complex coefficients $\{B(k)\}$ are one such set, while the $2N$ real or purely imaginary coefficients $\{\tilde{Y}(k)\}$ defined by

$$\tilde{Y}(k) = [Q(k) + sQ^*(k)]/2, \quad 0 \leq k \leq M-1, \quad (2.11)$$

are another. Notice that the coefficients $\{Y(k)\}$ and $\{\tilde{Y}(k)\}$ differ only by the phase factor $w(-k/2)$. It is a straightforward matter to show that $\{\tilde{Y}(k)\}$ is the set of Fourier coefficients for the pure sine or cosine expansion of the original sequence, if a coordinate system offset by half a cell is used. This does not allow the coefficients to be readily calculated by the methods of [1], since in this shifted representation the original sequence points are now specified one-half cell from the expansion points. For inversion, the coefficients $\{B(k)\}$ can be expressed in terms of the quantities $\{\tilde{Y}(k)\}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 4B(k) &= [w(-k/2) + iw(-3k/2)]\tilde{Y}(k) \\ &\quad + [w[(N-k)/2] + iw[3(N-k)/2]]\tilde{Y}^*(N-k) \\ &\quad + [w[-(N+k)/2] + iw[-3(N+k)/2]]\tilde{Y}(N+k) \\ &\quad - [iw(-k/2) + w(-3k/2)]\tilde{Y}^*(2N-k), \quad 0 \leq k \leq N-1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Of course, the decision to utilize a particular one of the sets $\{B(k)\}$, $\{\tilde{Y}(k)\}$, or $\{Y(k)\}$ depends upon the particular problem being treated. If computing speed is the primary consideration,

NRL MEMORANDUM REPORT 3640

then working directly with the quantities $\{B(k)\}$ is the best approach. However, for involved manipulations of the transform coefficients, $\{\tilde{Y}(k)\}$ and $\{Y(k)\}$ are more easily interpreted physically, and use of one of these sets may be more appropriate.

Frequently the DFT is performed to facilitate "smoothing" the data, or "filtering" it in some way. This is often accomplished by multiplying the Fourier coefficients $\{Y(k)\}$ by "form factors" $F(k)$, $0 \leq k \leq 4N-1$, which are all real or all purely imaginary, and then performing the inverse DFT

$$z(j) = \frac{1}{4N} \sum_{k=0}^{4N-1} F(k) Y(k) w(-jk), \quad 0 \leq j \leq 4N-1.$$

In the present problem, we impose the restrictions

$$F(k) = F^*(4N - k), \quad 1 \leq k \leq 4N-1. \quad (2.13)$$

We write

$$F^*(k) = tF(k), \quad 0 \leq k \leq 4N-1,$$

where $t = +1$ or -1 according as each form factor is real or purely imaginary, and $F(4N) = F(0)$. Using (2.3) it is easily verified that

$$z^*(j) = z(j), \quad 0 \leq j \leq 4N-1, \quad (2.14)$$

and hence the "smoothed" coefficients $\{z(j)\}$ are real. Using (2.3) and (2.13) it may be directly shown that

$$z(4N - j - 1) = tz(j), \quad 0 \leq j \leq 4N-1. \quad (2.15)$$

Thus, the sequence $\{z(j)\}$ is a member of the symmetry class defined by (1.1), so from (2.5) and (2.7) we may conclude the existence of a sequence $\{B'(k)\}$ such that

$$B'(k) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} u(2j) w(4jk), \quad 0 \leq k \leq N-1.$$

where

$$u(M - j - 1) = i s t u^*(j),$$

$$u(j) = z(2j) + i z(2j + 1), \quad 0 \leq j \leq M - 1.$$

Consequently, the "smoothed" data points $\{z(j)\}$ can be determined from an inverse transform of length N applied to the coefficients $\{B'(k)\}$. Specifically,

$$u(2j) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} B'(k) w(-4jk), \quad 0 \leq j \leq N - 1.$$

It remains to express the quantities $\{B'(k)\}$ in terms of the coefficients $\{B(k)\}$. From (2.12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 4B'(k) = & [w(-k/2) + i w(-3k/2)] \tilde{Y}'(k) \\ & + [w[(N - k)/2] + i w[3(N - k)/2]] \tilde{Y}'^*(k) \\ & + [w[-(N + k)/2] + i w[-3(N + k)/2]] \tilde{Y}'(N - k) \\ & - [i w(-k/2) + w(-3k/2)] \tilde{Y}'^*(2N - k), \quad 0 \leq k \leq N - 1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

where

$$\tilde{Y}'(k) = F(k) \tilde{Y}(k), \quad 0 \leq k \leq M - 1. \quad (2.17)$$

Substituting (2.17) into (2.16), it is a bit tedious but not difficult to verify that

$$8B'(k) = \alpha_k B(k) + \beta_k B^*(k) + \gamma_k B(N - k) + \delta_k B^*(N - k),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k = & 2[F(k) + F^*(2N - k) + F(N + k) + F^*(N - k)] \\ & - i[F(k) - F^*(2N - k)][w(k) - w(-k)] \\ & - [F(N + k) - F^*(N - k)][w(k) + w(-k)]; \end{aligned}$$

NRL MEMORANDUM REPORT 3640

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_k = & isw(-2k)[2[F(k) + F^*(2N - k) - F(N + k) - F^*(N - k)] \\ & - i[F(k) - F^*(2N - k)][w(k) - w(-k)] \\ & + [F(N + k) - F^*(N - k)][w(k) + w(-k)]]; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_k = & isw(-2k)\{-i[F(k) - F^*(2N - k)][w(k) + w(-k)] \\ & + [F(N + k) - F^*(N - k)][w(k) - w(-k)]\}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_k = & i[F(k) - F^*(2N - k)][w(k) + w(-k)] \\ & + [F(N + k) - F^*(N - k)][w(k) - w(-k)]. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, calculation of the quantities $\{\tilde{Y}(k)\}$ or $\{Y(k)\}$ is not necessary. All that is required is to compute the transform $\{B(k)\}$, obtain the quantities $\{B'(k)\}$ using the stored constants $\{\alpha_k\}$, $\{\beta_k\}$, $\{\gamma_k\}$, $\{\delta_k\}$, and compute the inverse transform $\{u(2j)\}$.

3. Conclusions

The $4N$ real data points $\{y(j): 0 \leq j \leq 4N - 1\}$ satisfying the symmetric or antisymmetric boundary conditions (1.1) may be "processed" (transformed, smoothed, and inverse transformed) using two DFTs of length N . This is a savings of approximately a factor of two in the number of arithmetic operations over the computation required by DFT algorithms in which symmetry or antisymmetry is not taken into account. In two and three dimensional applications, we obtain savings factors of four and eight, respectively.

REFERENCE

1. J.W. Cooley, P.A.W. Lewis, and P.D. Welch, "The Fast Fourier Transform Algorithm: Programming Considerations with Calculation of Sine, Cosine, and Laplace Transforms," *J. Sound Vib.* (1970), 12(1), 315-337.